

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/825,638 | 04/04/2001 | Timothy B. Robinson | 42148/RJP/E264 | 3819 | |
| 23363 75 | 90 10/31/2005 | | EXAMINER · | | |
| CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP | | | JAGANNATHAN, MELANIE | | |
| PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 2666 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 09/825,638 | ROBINSON ET AL. | ROBINSON ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| Melanie Jagannathan | 2666 | | | |

| Defers the Filing of an Anneal Priof | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Melanie Jagannathan | 2666 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| ГНЕ | REPLY FILED 19 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH | IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION | FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| I. 🔀 | ∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| Exter been CFR | The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Idensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) sove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any irred patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| | TICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | |
| | ENDMENTS | Luk minuta tha data of filime a beig | f will not be entered | haaayaa | | | | |
| 3 | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| | (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| | (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | | |
| | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. 🗀 | For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| ΔFF | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: FIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 8. [| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence | is necessary | | | | |
| | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal. | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | ails to provide a (1). | | | | |
| REC | ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation DUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| | The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | | | ance because: | | | | |
| | 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner appreciates Applicant's detailed description of prior art and arguments. However, arguments are not persuasive and rejection is maintained. Please refer to response to arguments in final office action mailed 7/22/2005.

DANG TON SECULARIY ENAMING